

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/686,979	10/16/2003	Shell S. Simpson	200209258-1	8115
²²⁸⁷⁹ HEWLETT PA	7590 05/31/2007 ACKARD COMPANY		EXAMINER	
P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION			SHAN, APRIL YING	
	NS, CO 80527-2400	INISTRATION	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2135	•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/31/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary Examiner April Y. Shan The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address of the Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DESTRICTION. Extensions of lime may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 March 2007. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the me closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-41 and 47 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-41 and 47 is/are allowed.	DAYS,
April Y. Shan The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address of Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 March 2007. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the me closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-41 and 47 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.	DAYS,
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DEVALUE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 March 2007. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the meclosed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-41 and 47 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.	DAYS,
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 March 2007. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the medicosed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-41 and 47 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.	DAYS,
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) D. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 March 2007. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the mechanism of the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-41 and 47 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.	unication.
 WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 March 2007. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the meclosed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-41 and 47 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 	unication.
1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 March 2007. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the me closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-41 and 47 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the me closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-41 and 47 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 	
 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the me closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-41 and 47 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 	
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the mediated in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-41 and 47 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 	
Disposition of Claims 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-41 and 47</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.	erits is
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-41 and 47</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-41 and 47</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-41 and 47</u> is/are rejected.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>16 October 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1	.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-1	152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	•
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Sta	ge
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	
dee the attached detailed embe action for a list of the defining copies not received.	
Attachment(s)	
1) IXI Mating of Pateronage Cited (PTO 902)	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	

Art Unit: 2135

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-41 and 47 have been examined.

Election/Restrictions

2. Applicant's election without traverse of electing Group I (Claims 1-41 and 47), withdraw Group II (Claims 42-46) and Group III (Claim 48) in the reply filed on 6 April 2007 is acknowledged.

Claim Objections

3. Claims 5, 9 and 15 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim.

Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form.

As per **claim 5**, it is depended on claim 4. However, according to claim 4, "an encryption key" is optional since claim 4 recites "where the print request includes one or more of, a print item identifier... an encryption key....". But it appears to the examiner, according to claim 5, "encryption key" must include in the print request.

As per claims 9 and 15, the Applicant recites public key and session key. It appears to the examiner that there is no correlation between public key and session key as recited in the claims. Please clarify. Further, in claim 15, it recites "decrypting a session key associated with print item encrypted in the first enterprise where the encryption is based, at least in part, on the public key..." is grammatically incomprehensible. Is the Applicant meant encrypt session key with the public key?

4.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 1-17, 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As per claim 1, line 14 recites "... one or more encryption data...". It is not clear whether this encryption data is encrypted data of the print request or the print item or both or neither.

As per claims 11 and 17, "decrypting the print item in the image forming device" is recited. However, claims 11 and 17 also recites, "encrypting the print item in the first enterprise". How can an encryption service to decrypt a non-encrypted print item?

As per claim 47, "an encryption data" is recited on line 33 of page 33. It is not clear whether this encryption data is same as or different from "encryption data" recited on line 1 of page 33.

Any claim not specifically addressed, above, is being rejected as incorporating the deficiencies of a claim upon which it depends.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Art Unit: 2135

7. Claims 1, 6-10, 17-26, 33, 37, and 39-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

With respect to **claims 1, 18 and 37,** they are directed to a secure foreign enterprise print system comprises logics. However, in accordance with Applicant's specification in the par. [0019] of page 3, "Logic may also be fully embodied as software". Therefore, it appears that the system would reasonably be interpreted by one of ordinary skill in the art as software, per se. There is no element positively recited as part of the system. As such, it believed that the apparatus of claims 1, 18 and 37 are reasonably interpreted as functional descriptive material, per se.

With respect to claims 17 and 33, the "computer-readable medium" is recited. In accordance with Applicant's specification on page 3, par. [0018], is carrier wave/pulse or signal. This subject matter is not limited to that which falls within a statutory category of invention because it is not limited to a process, machine, manufacture, or a composition of matter. Instead, it includes a form of energy. Energy does not fall within a statutory category since it is clearly not a series of steps or acts to constitute a process, not a mechanical device or combination of mechanical devices to constitute a machine, not a tangible physical article or object which is some form of matter to be a product and constitute a manufacture, and not a composition of two or more substances to constitute a composition of matter.

Any claim not specifically addressed, above, is being rejected as incorporating the deficiencies of a claim upon which it depends.

Art Unit: 2135

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Page 5

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 10. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 11. Claims 1-7, 10-13, 16-24, 27-29 and 33-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lamming et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,922,725) in view of Slick et al. (U.S. Patent No. 7,003,667).

Art Unit: 2135

As per claim 1, Lamming et al. discloses a secure foreign enterprise print system, comprising:

a wireless telephonic logic ("Bridging the two networks 102 and 101 to form a path of connectivity between the output device 106 and the document server 108 is a mobile computing device 10.." – e.g. col. 7, lines 19-31 and "In bridging the two networks 102 and 104, the mobile computing device 110 performs discovery functions 112, preparation functions 114, and control functions 117..." – e.g. col. 7, lines 41-52);

a wireless network communication logic configured to communicate a print request to a wireless network web services provider via the wireless telephonic logic (e.g. col. 4, lines 16-31 and e.g. col. 10, lines 33-44 and fig. 3), the print request being related to a print item stored (e.g. col. 10, lines 45-55) in a first enterprise ("a second network 104 ("Network B") - e.g. fig. 1 and col. 5, lines 45-46. Please note Network B corresponds to Applicant's a first enterprise) and an image forming device ("output device 106" - e.g. fig. 1 and col. 6, lines 36-37. Please note output device corresponds to Applicant's image forming device) located in a second enterprise ("a first network 102 ("Network A") - e.g. fig. 1 and col. 5, line 44. Please note Network A corresponds to Applicant's a second enterprise);

a discovery logic configured to identify the image forming device ("In bridging the two networks 102 and 104, the mobile computing device 110 performs discovery function 12...(b) discovers the output device 106..." – e.g. col. 7, lines 41-51 and col. 9, lines 1-12);

Art Unit: 2135

an image forming device communication logic configured to communicate an image forming device data with the image forming device (e.g. fig. 3 and col. 8, lines 44-59).

Lamming et al. further discloses a logic configured to communicate one or more data with one or more of, image forming device and the wireless network web services provider in col. 12, lines 28-39, "At 326, the document at 324 now in output format is transmitted to the mobile computing device over the second wireless communication 122... Upon receipt of the rendered document from the document server, the mobile computing device relays the rendered document over the first wireless communications channel 120 to the output device 106 at 327..." and in col. 12, lines 40-50, "...the document server... and that this preparation and/or rendering... certain transformations of the document may take place... for example, performed for the purpose of compression, security, and/or efficiency..."

Lamming et al. does not expressly disclose data are encrypted.

However, this commonly known features in the art are disclosed in Slick et al., "Encryption/decryption logic 413 allows server 40 to receive encrypted data and to either maintain such data in queue 415 or to send such data to an image output device such as printer 50 for printing" – e.g. col. 9, lines 16-19 and "Encryption/decryption logic 355 enables printer 50 to receive encrypted data according to the present invention" – e.g. col. 8, lines 36-38"

It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art to incorporate Slick et al.'s data encryption into Lamming et al.'s system.

Art Unit: 2135

The motivation of doing so would have been "... the document server... and that this preparation and/or rendering... certain transformations of the document may take place... for example, performed for the purpose of compression, security, and/or efficiency..." as disclosed in Lamming et al. (col. 12, lines 40-50) and "desirable if such an improved path for routing documents would provide increased security..." as disclosed in Lamming et al. (col. 3, lines 65-67)

As per **claim 2**, Lamming et al. – Slick et al. discloses a system as applied above in claim 1. Lamming et al. further discloses where the wireless telephonic logic comprises a cellular telephone ("..a mobile computing device 110... mobile phones" – e.g. fig. 1 and col. 7, lines 19-31).

As per claims 3-5, Lamming et al. – Slick et al. discloses a system as applied above in claim 1. Lamming et al. - Slick et al. further discloses where the image forming device comprises a printer (Lamming et al. - e.g. col. 6, lines 52-54), where the print request includes one or more of, a print item identifier, a user identifier, an encryption key, an image forming device model data, an image forming device capability data, an image forming device address, and a print queue identifier ("The document service request includes a first parameter identifying a document...and a second parameter identifying a type of output device available..." – Lamming et al., e.g. abstract and col. 10, lines 18-24), and where the encryption key is the public key component of a public/private key pair that includes a public key component and a

private key component (Slick et al., "The first key is then encrypted using a second key and a third key. The second key is a public key...." – e.g. abstract and "..The encryption of the key is performed by an asymmetric encryption (i.e., public/private key –pair) algorithm" – e.g. col. 1, lines 39-49).

As per **claim 6**, Lamming et al. – Slick et al. discloses a system as applied above in claim 1. Lamming et al. further discloses where the image forming device communication logic is configured to communicate with the image forming device using one or more of, an IEEE 802.11 communication, an IEEE 802.15 communication, an infrared communication, and a Bluetooth communication (e.g. col. 5, lines 52-53 and col. 23, lines 16-21).

As per **claim 7**, Lamming et al. – Slick et al. discloses a system as applied above in claim 1. Lamming et al. further discloses where the image forming device data includes one or more of, a printer request, an encrypted encryption key, a decrypted encryption key, a print item identifier, an image forming device model data, an image forming device capability data, an image forming device address, and a print queue identifier (e.g. col. 8, lines 47-59 and col. 9, lines 43-49).

Art Unit: 2135

As per **claim 10**, Lamming et al. – Slick et al. discloses a system as applied above in claim 1. Lamming et al. further discloses comprising: a user interface logic configured to facilitate selecting the print item from the first enterprise (e.g. fig. 3, fig. 5 and col. 8, lines 10-59).

As per claim 11, Lamming et al. discloses a method comprising:

receiving a print item identifier that identifies a print item to process ("The document service request includes a first parameter identifying a document available to the document server" – e.g. abstract), where the print item is stored in a first enterprise ("... the document from a storage device local to the document server 108..." – e.g. col. 10, lines 45-55, "Forming part of the second network 104 is a document server 108..." – e.g. col. 6, lines 56-67. Please note second network 104 corresponds to Applicant's first enterprise);

receiving an image forming device identifier that identifies an image forming device on which the print item is to be processed, where the image forming device ("output device 106" – e.g. fig. 1 and col. 6, lines 36-37. Please note output device corresponds to Applicant's image forming device) is located in a second enterprise ("a first network 102 ("Network A") – e.g. fig. 1 and col. 5, line 44. Please note Network A corresponds to Applicant's a second enterprise);

providing a print request (e.g. col. 4, lines 16-31 and e.g. col. 10, lines 33-44 and fig. 3) to a wireless network web services provider that has access to the first enterprise ("a second network 104 ("Network B") – e.g. fig. 1 and col. 5, lines 45-46.

Please note Network B corresponds to Applicant's a first enterprise) and the second enterprise ("a first network 102 ("Network A") – e.g. fig. 1 and col. 5, line 44. Please note Network A corresponds to Applicant's a second enterprise); and

Lamming et al. further discloses in col. 12, lines 28-39, "At 326, the document at 324 now in output format is transmitted to the mobile computing device over the second wireless communication 122... Upon receipt of the rendered document from the document server, the mobile computing device relays the rendered document over the first wireless communications channel 120 to the output device 106 at 327..." and in col. 12, lines 40-50, "... the document server... and that this preparation and/or rendering... certain transformations of the document may take place... for example, performed for the purpose of compression, security, and/or efficiency..."

Lamming et al. does not expressly disclose "providing an encryption service that facilitates encrypting the print item in the first enterprise and decrypting the print item in the image forming device".

Slick et al. discloses providing an encryption service that facilitates encrypting the print item in the first enterprise and decrypting the print item in the image forming device ("In a network environment, a print job generated by a computer at one location in the network can be printed by an image output device at another location..." – e.g. col. 1, lines 14-16 and "... by encrypting print data... Then, the encrypted print data and the encrypted randomly generated key are sent to the image output device... the print data is decrypted using the decrypted symmetric key, and an image is output by the

Art Unit: 2135

image output device in accordance with the decrypted print data" - e.g. col. 1, lines 39-58. Please note one location corresponds to Applicant's first enterprise).

It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art to incorporate Slick et al.'s data encryption/decryption into Lamming et al.'s method.

The motivation of doing so would have been "...the document server... and that this preparation and/or rendering...certain transformations of the document may take place...for example, performed for the purpose of compression, security, and/or efficiency..." as disclosed in Lamming et al. (col. 12, lines 40-50), "desirable if such an improved path for routing documents would provide increased security..." as disclosed in Lamming et al. (col. 3, lines 65-67).

As per claim 12, Lamming et al. – Slick et al. discloses a method as applied above in claim 11. Lamming et al. further discloses where the image forming device identifier includes one or more of, an image forming device address, an image forming device capability data, and an image forming device model data (e.g. col. 8, lines 51-59).

As per claim 13, Lamming et al. – Slick et al. discloses a method as applied above in claim 11. Lamming et al. further discloses where the print request includes one or more of, a print item identifier, an encryption key, an image forming device model data, an image forming device address, an image forming device capability data, and a print queue identifier ("The document service request includes a first parameter identifying a document, and a second parameter identifying a type of output device available..." – e.g. abstract).

Art Unit: 2135

As per **claim 16**, Lamming et al. – Slick et al. discloses a method as applied above in claim 11. Lamming et al. further discloses where the image forming device comprises a printer (e.g. col. 6, lines 52-54).

As per **claim 17**, Lamming et al. – Slick et al. discloses a method as applied above in claim 11. Therefore, Lamming et al. – Slick et al. discloses the claimed processor executable instructions stored in a computer-readable medium for carrying out the method of steps.

As per **claim 18**, Lamming et al. discloses an image forming system, comprising:

a network communication logic configured to communicate with a web
services provider (e.g. col. 18, lines 54-62, fig. 14);

a wireless communication device logic configured to communicate with a wireless communication device (e.g. col. 4, lines 16-31 and e.g. col. 10, lines 33-44, col. 18, lines 54-62, figs. 3 and 14) and to employ the web services provider for print services associated with producing an image from a print item stored (e.g. col. 10, lines 45-55) in a first enterprise ("a second network 104 ("Network B") – e.g. fig. 1 and col. 5, lines 45-46. Please note Network B corresponds to Applicant's a first enterprise), where the image forming system (e.g. col. 7, lines 59-63 and output device 106 in fig.

Art Unit: 2135

2) is located in a second enterprise ("a first network 102 ("Network A") – e.g. fig. 1 and col. 5, line 44. Please note Network A corresponds to Applicant's a second enterprise); an image forming logic configured to produce the image from the print item (e.g. col. 12, lines 53-61).

Lamming et al. further discloses a logic configured to facilitate providing security for the print item as it is communicated from the first enterprise to the image forming system via the web service provider in col. 12, lines 28-39, "At 326, the document at 324 now in output format is transmitted to the mobile computing device over the second wireless communication 122... Upon receipt of the rendered document from the document server, the mobile computing device relays the rendered document over the first wireless communications channel 120 to the output device 106 at 327..." and in col. 12, lines 40-50, "... the document server... and that this preparation and/or rendering... certain transformations of the document may take place... for example, performed for the purpose of compression, security, and/or efficiency..."

Lamming et al. does not expressly disclose log is an encryption logic.

However, this commonly known features in the art are disclosed in Slick et al., "Encryption/decryption logic 413 allows server 40 to receive encrypted data and to either maintain such data in queue 415 or to send such data to an image output device such as printer 50 for printing" – e.g. col. 9, lines 16-19 and "Encryption/decryption logic 355 enables printer 50 to receive encrypted data according to the present invention" – e.g. col. 8, lines 36-38"

Art Unit: 2135

It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art to incorporate Slick et al.'s data encryption into Lamming et al.'s system.

The motivation of doing so would have been "...the document server... and that this preparation and/or rendering...certain transformations of the document may take place...for example, performed for the purpose of compression, security, and/or efficiency..." as disclosed in Lamming et al. (col. 12, lines 40-50) and "desirable if such an improved path for routing documents would provide increased security..." as disclosed in Lamming et al. (col. 3, lines 65-67)

As per **claim 19**, Lamming et al. – Slick et al. discloses a system as applied above in claim 18. Lamming et al. further discloses where the network communication logic is configured to communicate via the public Internet (e.g. col. 18, lines 23-34).

As per **claim 20**, Lamming et al. – Slick et al. discloses a system as applied above in claim 18. Slick et al. further discloses where the network communication logic is configured to request an encrypted print item from a print queue (queue 356 in fig. 3 and e.g. col. 8, lines 41-42) associated with the web services provider (e.g. col. 4, lines 33-37 and col. 11, lines 20-23)

As per **claim 21**, Lamming et al. – Slick et al. discloses a system as applied above in claim 18. Slick et al. further discloses where the network communication logic is configured to receive an encrypted print item from a print queue associated with the

Art Unit: 2135

web services provider (e.g. col. 4, lines 33-37, col. 8, lines 26-30 and col. 8, lines 36-42).

As per claims 22-23, Lamming et al. – Slick et al. discloses a system as applied above in claim 18. Lamming et al. - Slick et al. further discloses where the wireless communication device logic is configured to communicate an image forming device data with the wireless communication device (Lamming et al., fig. 3) and where the image forming device data includes one or more of, a printer request, an encrypted encryption key, a decrypted encryption key, a print item identifier, an image forming device model data, an image forming device capability data, an image forming device address, and a print queue identifier (Lamming et al. - e.g. col. 8, lines 47-59 and col. 9, lines 43-49 and Slick et al. – e.g. col. 1, lines 48-49).

As per claim 24, Lamming et al. – Slick et al. discloses a system as applied above in claim 18. Lamming et al. further discloses where the wireless communication device logic communicates with the wireless communication device using one or more of an IEEE 802.11 communication, an IEEE 802.15 communication, an infrared communication, and a Bluetooth communication (e.g. col. 5, lines 52-53).

As per claim 27, Lamming et al. - Slick et al. discloses a system as applied above in claim 18. Lamming et al. further discloses where the image forming device is a printer (e.g. col. 6, lines 52-54).

forming an image from the print item.

As per **claim 28**, Lamming et al. – Slick et al. discloses a system as applied above in claim 18. Lamming et al. further discloses where the wireless communication device is a cellular telephone ("..a mobile computing device 110...mobile phones" – e.g. fig. 1 and col. 7, lines 19-31).

12, line 61) a method, comprising:
receiving into an image forming device, from a wireless communication device, a
request to produce an image from print item stored in a print queue provided by a web
services provider; communicating with the web services provider to have the print item
transmitted to the image forming device; receiving the print item (e.g. fig. 3); and

As per claim 29, Lamming et al. discloses in (e.g. fig. 3 and col. 8, line 10- col.

Lamming et al. further discloses a logic configured to communicate one or more data with one or more of, image forming device and the wireless network web services provider in col. 12, lines 28-39, "At 326, the document at 324 now in output format is transmitted to the mobile computing device over the second wireless communication 122... Upon receipt of the rendered document from the document server, the mobile computing device relays the rendered document over the first wireless communications channel 120 to the output device 106 at 327..." and in col. 12, lines 40-50, "... the document server... and that this preparation and/or rendering... certain

transformations of the document may take place...for example, performed for the purpose of compression, **security**, and/or efficiency..."

Lamming et al. does not expressly disclose data are encrypted/decrypted.

However, this commonly known features in the art are disclosed in Slick et al., "Encryption/decryption logic 413 allows server 40 to receive encrypted data and to either maintain such data in queue 415 or to send such data to an image output device such as printer 50 for printing" – e.g. col. 9, lines 16-19 and "Encryption/decryption logic 355 enables printer 50 to receive encrypted data according to the present invention" – e.g. col. 8, lines 36-38" and "... the print data is decrypted using the decrypted symmetric key, and an image is output by the image output device in accordance with the decrypted print data" – e.g. col. 1, lines 55-58.

It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art to incorporate Slick et al.'s data encryption/decryption into Lamming et al.'s system.

The motivation of doing so would have been "... the document server... and that this preparation and/or rendering... certain transformations of the document may take place... for example, performed for the purpose of compression, security, and/or efficiency..." as disclosed in Lamming et al. (col. 12, lines 40-50) and "desirable if such an improved path for routing documents would provide increased security..." as disclosed in Lamming et al. (col. 3, lines 65-67)

As per **claims 33**, Lamming et al. – Slick et al. discloses a method as applied above in claim 29. Therefore, Lamming et al. – Slick et al. discloses the claimed processor executable instructions stored in a computer-readable medium for carrying out the method of steps.

As per **claim 34**, it is rejected using the same rationale as rejecting claims 1-3 above.

As per **claim 35**, Slick et al. further discloses where the network communication logic is configured to request an encrypted print item from a print queue associated with the web services provider (e.g. col. 4, lines 33-37 and col. 11, lines 20-23)

As per **claim 36**, Slick et al. further discloses where the network communication logic is configured to receive an encrypted print item from a print queue associated with the web services provider (e.g. col. 4, lines 33-37, col. 8, lines 26-30 and col. 8, lines 36-42).

12. Claims 8, 14, 25 and 31-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lamming et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6922725) in view of Slick et al. (U.S.

Art Unit: 2135

Patent No. 7003667), further in view of Strobel et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6751732) and Lohstroh et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5768373).

As per claims 8, 14, 25 and 31-32, Lamming et al. – Slick et al. does not expressly disclose generate a public/private key pair and to provide the public key to the wireless network web services provider via the wireless network communication logic, and to provide the private key to the image forming device via the image forming device communication logic and to decrypt an encrypted print item received from the web services provider based, at least in part, on the private key component of the one time public/private key pair. However, Strobel et al. discloses generate a public/private key pair and to provide the public key to the wireless network web services provider via the wireless network communication logic, and to provide the private key to the image forming device via the image forming device communication logic (e.g. col. 2, lines 49-61, col. 3, lines 1-2, col. 4, line 49 - col. 5, line 31). It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art to add Storbel et al.'s feature into Lamming et al. -Slick et al.' s system/method. The motivation of doing so would have been to use "...well known data encryption and decryption...such as...public and private key management", as disclosed by Storbel et al. (e.g. col. 4, line 50-54).

Lamming et al. – Slick et al. – Storbel et al. does not expressly disclose the public/private key pair is a one-time public/private key pair. However, this well known feature is disclosed in col. 5, lines 1-27 of the Lohstroh et al. reference. It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art to combine Lohstorh et al.'s one-time public/private key pair into Lamming et al. – Slick et al. – Storbel et al.'s system.

The motivation of doing so would have been to enhance security by encrypt/decrypt using public-key cryptography for only one particular communication session.

13. Claims 9, 15, 26 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lamming et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6922725) in view of Slick et al. (U.S. Patent No. 7003667), further in view of Strobel et al (U.S. Patent No. 6751732)

As per claims 9 and 15, Lamming et al. – Slick et al. discloses a system/method as applied above in claims 1 and 11. Slick et al. further discloses to decrypt an encrypted session key associated with an encrypted print item into a decrypted session key (Slick et al. – e.g. col. 1, lines 50-53), and to provide the decrypted session key to the image forming device (Slick al. – e.g. col. 1, lines 54-58).

Lamming et al. - Slick et al. does not expressly discloses provide the public key to the wireless network web services provider via the wireless network communication logic.

Strobel et al. discloses provide the public key to the wireless network web services provider via the wireless network communication logic (e.g. col. 2, lines 49-61, col. 3, lines 1-2, col. 4, line 49 - col. 5, line 31). It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art to add Storbel et al.'s feature into Lamming et al. – Slick et al.'s system/method. The motivation of doing so would have been to use "...well known data encryption and decryption...such as...public and private key management", as disclosed by Storbel et al. (e.g. col. 4, line 50-54).

Art Unit: 2135

As per **claims 26 and 30**, Lamming et al. – Slick et al. discloses a system/method as applied above in claims 18 and 29. Slick et al. further discloses where decrypting the encrypted print item comprises:

retrieving an encrypted session key from the encrypted print item (Slick et al. - e.g. col. 17, lines 44-45);

decrypting the encrypted print item into the decrypted print item based, at least in part, on the decrypted session key (Slick et al. – e.g. col. 17, lines 44-47).

Lamming et al. – Slick et al. does not expressly disclose providing the key to the wireless communication device for decryption receiving a session key from the wireless communication device.

However, Strobel et al. discloses providing the key to the wireless communication device for decryption receiving a key from the wireless communication device (e.g. abstract, col. 2, lines 50-54, col. 3, lines 102 and col. 5, lines 4-31).

It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art to incorporate such well known features of Strobel et al.'s into Lamming et al. – Slick et al.'s system/method.

The motivation of doing so would have been to "... can provide secure, on-demand printing of documents... delivered to a printing device, thereby ensuring receipt by the intended recipient and ensuring confidentiality of the contents of the document or message", as disclosed by Strobel et al. (e.g. col. 2, lines 31-36)

14. Claims 37-41 and 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lamming et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6922725) in view of Slick et al. (U.S. Patent No. 7,003,667) and further in view of examiner's official notice.

As per claim 37, Lamming et al. discloses a secure foreign enterprise print system, comprising:

a wireless network communication logic configured to communicate via cellular telephony with one or more wireless communication devices (e.g. fig. 11 and col. 13, lines 10-20);

a controller logic configured to receive a request (e.g. col. 4, lines 16-31 and e.g. col. 10, lines 33-44 and fig. 3) to provide print services for a print item (e.g. col. 10, lines 45-55) stored on a first enterprise ("a second network 104 ("Network B") – e.g. fig. 1 and col. 5, lines 45-46. Please note Network B corresponds to Applicant's a first enterprise) and, in response to receiving the request to provide print services, generating a request for the print item, identifying a gateway (e.g. col. 13, lines 24-27 and col. 17, lines 39-45) to the first enterprise, and transmitting the request for the print item to the gateway (e.g. col. 13, lines 24-27 and col. 17, lines 39-49);

> Lamming et al. does not expressly disclose data are encrypted.

However, this commonly known features in the art are disclosed in Slick et al., "Encryption/decryption logic 413 allows server 40 to receive encrypted data and to either maintain such data in queue 415 or to send such data to an image output device such as printer 50 for printing" – e.g. col. 9, lines 16-19 and "Encryption/decryption

Application/Outilion 140

Art Unit: 2135

logic 355 enables printer 50 to receive encrypted data according to the present invention" – e.g. col. 8, lines 36-38"

It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art to incorporate Slick et al.'s data encryption into Lamming et al.'s system.

The motivation of doing so would have been "... the document server... and that this preparation and/or rendering... certain transformations of the document may take place... for example, performed for the purpose of compression, security, and/or efficiency..." as disclosed in Lamming et al. (col. 12, lines 40-50) and "desirable if such an improved path for routing documents would provide increased security..." as disclosed in Lamming et al. (col. 3, lines 65-67)

Slick et al. further discloses a print queue data store configured to store print items, and a print queue logic configured to receive a print item from the first enterprise, to store the print item in the print queue data store, to receive a request for the encrypted print item from an image forming device in a second enterprise, and to transmit the encrypted print item to the image forming device (e.g. queue 356 in fig. 3, col. 8, lines 26-30 and 36-42, e.g. col. 4, lines 33-37).

➤ Lamming et al. – Slick et al. does not disclose the print queue data store is organized, at least in part, on a per cellular telephone user basis.

However, the print queue data store is organized, at least in part,

Art Unit: 2135

on a per cellular telephone user basis is well known in the art at the time of the invention. Therefore, the examiner takes official notice that one of ordinary skill in the art would know print queue data store is organized, at least in part, on a per cellular telephone user basis (for example, a wireless service provider's print queue data store billing statements, call history and etc. is organized, at least in part, on a per cellular telephone user basis). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to add this well known feature motivating by providing organization to the data.

As per **claim 38**, Lamming et al. further discloses where the one or more wireless communication devices comprise cellular telephones ("..a mobile computing device 110...mobile phones" – e.g. fig. 1 and col. 7, lines 19-31).

As per claims 39 and 40, Lamming et al. further comprising: an enterprise gateway relationship data store configured to store data that relates a wireless communication device user with an enterprise gateway; and where the controller logic is configured to identify the gateway to the first enterprise based, at least in part, on data stored in the enterprise gateway relationship data store (e.g. col. 17, lines 39-49) and where the enterprise gateway relationship data store comprises a table (e.g. col. 15, lines 46-60).

As per **claim 41**, it is rejected using the same rationale as rejecting claims 1-3, 18-22, 34-36 above except for the print queue data store is organized, at least in part,

Art Unit: 2135

on a per cellular telephone user basis.

However, the print queue data store is organized, at least in part, on a per cellular telephone user basis is well known in the art at the time of the invention. Therefore, the examiner takes official notice that one of ordinary skill in the art would know print queue data store is organized, at least in part, on a per cellular telephone user basis (for example, a wireless service provider's print queue data store billing statements, call history and etc. is organized, at least in part, on a per cellular telephone user basis). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to add this well known feature motivating by providing organization to the data.

As per **claim 47**, it is rejected using the same rationale as rejecting claims 1-3, 18-22, 34-36 above except for

the print queue data store is organized, at least in part, on a per cellular telephone user basis

However, the print queue data store is organized, at least in part, on a per cellular telephone user basis is well known in the art at the time of the invention. Therefore, the examiner takes official notice that one of ordinary skill in the art would know print queue data store is organized, at least in part, on a per cellular telephone user basis (for example, a wireless service provider's print queue data store billing statements, call history and etc. is organized, at least in part, on a per cellular telephone user basis). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of

Application/Control Number: 10/686,979 Page 27

Art Unit: 2135

ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to add this well known feature motivating by providing organization to the data.

the request for a print item includes a print item

where the request for a print item includes an encryption data

However, a request includes an encryption data is well known in the art at the time of the invention. Therefore, the examiner takes official notice that one of ordinary skill in the art would know a request includes an encryption data.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to add this well known feature motivating by providing security to the data.

Conclusion

15. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. In particular, reference Clough et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,912,374) and Wiegley (U.S. Patent No. 6,711,677) disclose secure printing. Applicant is **strongly urged** to review these references in response to the current office action.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to April Y. Shan whose telephone number is (571) 270-1014. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m., EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Y. Vu can be reached on (571) 272-3859. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

23 May 2007 **AYS**

> SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100